

REMARKS

This Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action mailed October 31, 2006 (the "Office Action"). At the time of the Office Action, Claims 1-25 were pending in the Application. The Examiner allows Claims 1-20 and rejects Claims 21, 22, 24, and 25. The Examiner objects to Claim 23. Applicants amend Claim 23 and cancel Claims 21, 22, 24, and 25. As described below, Applicants believe all claims to be allowable over the cited references. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and full allowance of Claims 1-20 and 23.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's indication that Claims 1-20 are allowable. Claims 1-20 have not been amended and, therefore, remain in condition for allowance.

Applicants also note with appreciation the Examiner's indication that Claim 23 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the features of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, Applicants have amended Claim 23 to incorporate the limitations of former independent Claim 21, which has been canceled. Therefore, independent Claim 23 should now be in condition for allowance.

Section 103 Rejections

The Examiner rejects Claims 21-22 and 24-25 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0001608 issued to Parruck et al. ("*Parruck*") in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0036981 issued to Park ("*Park*") and U.S. Patent No. 6,175,572 issued to Kim ("*Kim*"). To further prosecution of this case, independent Claim 21 and dependent Claims 22 and 24-25 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants respectfully request favorable action in this case.


CONCLUSION

Applicants have made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons, and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicants respectfully request full allowance of all pending Claims.

If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference or an interview would advance prosecution of this Application in any manner, the undersigned attorney for Applicants stands ready to conduct such a conference at the convenience of the Examiner.

Applicants believe no fee is due. However, should there be a fee discrepancy, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of Baker Botts L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted,
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
Attorneys for Applicants


Jenni R. Moen
Reg. No. 52,038
Phone: (214) 953-6809

Date: January 30, 2007

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

Customer Number: **05073**